



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,973	01/06/2006	Kenji Obora	Q91892	7322
23373 7590 08/20/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER HUTCHINSON, SHAWN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1709	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/563,973

Applicant(s)

OBORA ET AL.

Examiner

Shawn R. Hutchinson

Art Unit

1709

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE (3) MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6 Jan 2006 & 7 April 2006</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner notes in the original set, Claim 4 was properly dependent. The amendment made was not required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yasui, et al {Yasui} (JP 03-137239 A).

4. Yasui teaches:

- a. Fabric- is made by fluid jet textured yarns of core and sheath with loops and sagging. The rupture elongation of the fabric is no less than 100%, (Claim 1). A yarn formation example includes a first twist and a second twist, (Page 12, Paragraph 3). Another yarn formation example results in a primary yield point of 0.72-g-den^{-1} , (Page 13, Paragraph 3). With a 260-denier, the strength of this

Art Unit: 1709

yarn is 187.2-gram-force. The conversion of 187.2-gram-force to Newton-force is 1.8-N·f, less than the 2.0 N maximum specified for the yield strength.

b. Yarns- polyamide and polyester yarns for tire reinforcing fabrics, (Page 3, Paragraph 6).

c. Applications- fabrics are used to reinforce tires, (Page 3, Paragraph 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148

USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining

obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1709

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasui, et al. {Yasui} (JP 03-137239 A) in view of Glass, et al. {Glass} (US 3677318 A).

Yasui teaches polyester and polyamide fiber-forming polymers for tire reinforcement fabrics, but lack poly(vinyl alcohol).

Glass teaches a list of acceptable materials for analogous fabric including polyester, polyamide, and poly(vinyl alcohol), (Column 2, Lines 19-20).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include poly(vinyl alcohol) {Glass} as suitable materials for the specific tire cord reinforcement fabric {Yasui}. "Reading a list and selecting a known compound to meet known requirements is no more ingenious than selecting the last piece to put in the last opening in a jig-saw puzzle," see *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). The motivation would have been for the mechanical properties and performance when woven and molded, ({Glass} Column 2, Lines 16-33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Yasui with Glass and obtain the invention as specified.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 for more information.

Art Unit: 1709

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to Shawn R. Hutchinson whose telephone number is (571) 270-1546. The Examiner can normally be reached on 7 AM to 5 PM, M-F, odd Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, D. Lawrence Tarazano can be reached on (571) 271-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call (800) 786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or (571) 272-1000.

Shawn R. Hutchinson
Examiner
Art Unit 1709

**D. LAWRENCE TARAZANO
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, likely belonging to D. Lawrence Tarazano, is written over the printed name and title.